

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/537,947		FRANGER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BRITTANY M. MARTINEZ		1793	

All Participants:

(1) BRITTANY M. MARTINEZ

(2) Mr. Feng Shan

Date of Interview: 25 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

29, 30, 34, 36-47, 49 and 52-76

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:50 pm

/Brittany M Martinez/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Possible allowable subject matter was discussed and the Examiner suggested Applicants cancel Claims 69-75, as said Claims are drawn to product-by-process Claims and remain rejected over the prior art of record. Mr. Shan indicated that Applicants decline to cancel Claims 69-75 at this time. .